

AD/A-005 900

F-CONVEX FUNCNIONS

Aharon Ben-Tal, et al

Texas University at Austin

Prepared for:

Department of the Army  
National Science Foundation  
Office of Naval Research  
Wisconsin University  
Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

January 1974

DISTRIBUTED BY:

**NTIS**

National Technical Information Service  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Unclassified

Security Classification

AD/A - 005900

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R &amp; D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) <b>Center for Cybernetic Studies The University of Texas</b>		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>Unclassified</b>	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE <b>F-Convex Functions</b>			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and, inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) <b>Aharon Ben-Tal Adi Ben-Israel</b>			
6. REPORT DATE <b>January 1974</b>		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES <b>43</b>	7b. NO. OF REFS <b>17</b>
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. <b>N00014-67-A-0126-0008; 0009</b>		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>Center for Cybernetic Studies Research Report CCS 190</b>	
b. PROJECT NO <b>NR 047-021</b>		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c.			
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT <b>This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.</b>			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY <b>Office of Naval Research(Code 434) Washington, D. C.</b>	
13. ABSTRACT  Let $F$ be a family of functions: $R^n \rightarrow R$ . A function: $R^n \rightarrow R$ is called <u>F-convex</u> if it is supported, at each point, by some member of $F$ . For particular choices of $F$ one obtains the convex functions: $R^n \rightarrow R$ and the generalized convex functions in the sense of Beckenbach. $F$ -convex functions are characterized and studied, retaining some essential results of classical convexity.			

Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
Springfield, VA. 22151

**PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE**

DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)

1 NOV 65  
N 0101-807-6811

Unclassified

Security Classification

A-31408

Unclassified

Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Generalized Convexity						

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)

S/N 0102-014-6800

Unclassified

Security Classification

A-31

Research Report CCS 190

F-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

by

Aharon Ben-Tal  
Adi Ben-Israel\*

January 1974

\*Mathematics Research Center, The University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, and Department of Applied Mathematics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

This research was partly supported by the United States Army under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO-D-462, the National Science Foundation under Grant GP 38867, and by Project No. NR 047-021, ONR Contract [REDACTED] N00014-67-A-0126-0009 with the Center for Cybernetic Studies, The University of Texas. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

CENTER FOR CYBERNETIC STUDIES

A. Charnes, Director  
Business-Economics Building, 512  
The University of Texas  
Austin, Texas 78712  
(512) 471-1821

PROCESSED  
JAN 26 1975  
UNCLASSIFIED  
D

DISSEMINATION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

## F-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Aharon Ben-Tal and Adi Ben-Israel

## ABSTRACT

Let  $F$  be a family of functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$ . A function:  $R^n \rightarrow R$  is called F-convex if it is supported, at each point, by some member of  $F$ . For particular choices of  $F$  one obtains the convex functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$  and the generalized convex functions in the sense of Beckenbach.  $F$ -convex functions are characterized and studied, retaining some essential results of classical convexity.

## F-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Aharon Ben-Tal and Adi Ben-Israel

### §1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F$  be a family of functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$ , depending on  $(n+1)$  parameters  $\{x^*, \xi^*\} \in R^n \times R$ . A function  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$  is called F-convex if its graph is supported at each point by some member of  $F$ , see Definition 2.1. For particular choices of  $F$ , the F-convex functions reduce to the ordinary proper convex functions (Example 2.2) and the sub F-functions of Beckenbach (Example 2.3 and Proposition 2.4).

In this paper we study the basic properties of F-convex functions.

Sections 2 and 3 contain definitions and examples.

Section 4 gives first order conditions (so called because they involve only first derivatives and the "gradients"  $\{x_f^*, \xi_f^*\}$  defined in 3.2) for F-convexity. For families  $F \in \underline{A}$ , see Definition 3.2, F-convexity is characterized in Theorem 4.2 by an analog of the gradient inequality. The remaining results in Section 4 are conditions for F-convexity or strict F-convexity, in terms of the mapping:  $x \rightarrow \{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x)\}$  or the F-gradient mapping:  $x \rightarrow x_f^*(x)$ , see Definition 3.3.

Second order conditions for  $F$ -convexity and strict  $F$ -convexity are given in Section 5. These conditions involve the matrix

$$(5.1) \quad H(x) = f_{xx}(x) - F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x)$$

which in the classical case reduces to the Hessian matrix (Example 5.2). The main results here are Theorems 5.1 and 5.5. An analog of the differential inequality of Peixoto [14], characterizing sub- $F$  functions, is obtained as a special case (Example 5.3).

Section 6 deals with the monotonicity properties of the  $F$ -gradient  $x_f^*$  of an  $F$ -convex function, where  $F$  belongs to certain classes defined in 6.1. The derivative of  $x_f^*$  is computed in Lemma 6.2, and the result is used, for the separable families (6.10), to establish that  $x_f^*$  is a  $P_0$ -function [P-function] if  $f$  is  $F$ -convex [strictly  $F$ -convex], see Theorem 6.4.

In a sequel paper we study the corresponding generalizations of conjugacy and duality in the sense of Fenchel [16]. These results involve a conjugate family  $F^*$ , and are hidden in the classical case by the fact that there  $F = F^*$ .

## §2. F-CONVEX FUNCTIONS: DEFINITIONS AND EXAMPLES

### 2.1 Definitions

Let  $F$  be a family of functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$  with common domain  $X$

$$(2.1) \quad X \triangleq \bigcap \{\text{dom } F: F \in F\}$$

and range

$$(2.2) \quad \triangleq \bigcup \{\text{range } F: F \in F\}.$$

Let  $f$  be a function:  $R^n \rightarrow R$  with domain

$$(2.3) \quad \text{dom } f \subset X$$

and let  $S$  be an open subset of  $\text{dom } f$ . Then  $f$  is called F-convex in S if for every  $x \in S$ , there exists an  $F \in F$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) \geq F(z) \quad \text{for all } x \neq z \in S, \quad {}^1)$$

in which case  $F$  is called a support of  $f: S$  at  $x$ . The function  $f$  is called strictly F-convex in S if strict inequality holds in (2.4) for all  $x \neq z \in S$ .

If there is no need to specify  $S$ , for example if  $S = \text{dom } f$ , the above names are abbreviated by omitting  $S$ , e.g., F-convex, support of  $f$  at  $x$ , etc.

---

<sup>1)</sup> The name  $F$ -convex function was used recently ([15], p.241) to denote the sub- $F$  functions, see Example 2.2

## 2.2 Example

Let  $F$  be the family of affine functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$ , i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad F = \{F(.) = \langle x^*, \cdot \rangle - \xi^* : x^* \in R^n, \xi^* \in R\}.$$

Then a function  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$  is  $F$ -convex if and only if it is a proper convex function, i.e., a convex function whose epigraph is a non empty set containing no vertical lines, ([16], §4).

## 2.3 Example

Let  $F$  be a family of continuous functions:  $R \rightarrow R$  with domain  $X = (a,b)$  and such that

(B) For any two distinct points in  $X$ , say,

$$a < x_1 < x_2 < b$$

and any two real numbers  $\{y_1, y_2\}$ , there is a unique  $F \in F$  satisfying

$$F(x_i) = y_i, \quad (i=1,2).$$

We call such an  $F$  a Beckenbach family in  $(a,b)$ . E.F. Beckenbach [1] called a function  $f: (a,b) \rightarrow R$  a sub- $F$  function if for any two points

$$a < x_1 < x_2 < b$$

the member of  $F$ ,  $F_{12}$ , defined by

$$(2.6) \quad F_{12}(x_i) = f(x_i), \quad (i=1,2),$$

satisfies

$$f(x) \leq F_{12}(x), \quad x_1 < x < x_2.$$

M.M. Peixoto ([13],[14] Theorem 1) showed that if  $f$  is a sub- $F$  function and  $a < x_0 < b$  then there exist two functions

$$F_i \in F, \quad (i=1,2),$$

such that

$$F_i(x_0) = f(x_0), \quad (i=1,2),$$

$$F_2(x) \leq F_1(x) \leq f(x), \quad (a < x < x_0),$$

and

$$F_1(x) \leq F_2(x) \leq f(x), \quad x_0 < x < b.$$

(Furthermore, if the derivatives  $f'(x_0)$ ,  $F_1'(x_0)$  and  $F_2'(x_0)$  exist, they are equal). Thus both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  support  $f$  at  $x_0$ .

Therefore every sub- $F$  function is  $F$ -convex. We will now prove the converse for Beckenbach families  $F$ .

## 2.4 Proposition

Let  $F$  be a Beckenbach family in  $(a,b)$ . Then a function  $f: (a,b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $F$ -convex in  $(a,b)$  if and only if  $f$  is a sub- $F$  function.

### Proof.

The proof of the "if" part was cited above.

To prove "only if" suppose  $f$  is not a sub- $F$  function, i.e., there are three points

$$a < x_1 < x_0 < x_2 < b$$

such that the function  $F_{12} \in F$ , defined by (2.6), satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad F_{12}(x_0) < f(x_0) .$$

Suppose that  $F_0 \in F$  is a support of  $f$  at  $x_0$ , i.e.,

$$(2.8) \quad f(x_0) = F_0(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) \geq F_0(x), \quad a < x < b .$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) it follows that  $F_{12}$  and  $F_0$  intersect twice over the interval  $(a,b)$ , contradicting (B). Therefore  $f$  is not  $F$ -convex.  $\square$

## 2.5 Example

Let  $G(x,y,z)$  be a continuous function:  $(a,b) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that

(P1) For each  $\{x_0, y_0, y'_0\} \in (a,b) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , the differential equation

$$(2.9) \quad y'' = G(x, y, y'), \quad (a < x < b),$$

has a unique solution  $y = y(x)$  satisfying

$$(2.10) \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0.$$

(P2) The solution of (2.9) is continuous with respect to the initial values  $y_0, y'_0$ .

(P3) For any two points  $\{x_i, y_i\} \in (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , there is a unique solution of (2.9) satisfying

$$(2.11) \quad y(x_i) = y_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let  $F$  be the Beckenbach family of solutions of (2.9).

M.M. Peixoto ([14] Theorem 2) showed that a function  $f \in C^2(a, b)$  is a sub- $F$  function if and only if

$$(2.12) \quad f'' \geq G(x, f, f'), \quad a < x < b.$$

## 2.6 Example

While sub- $F$  functions are continuous ([1], [15] p. 242), an  $F$ -convex function need not be continuous in its domain, even if each  $F \in F$  is continuous:

Let  $F$  be the family of functions:  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$F(x) = F(x^*, \xi^*; x) = \xi^* \sin(e^{x^*|x|} - 1)$$

depending on the two parameters

$$x^* \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \xi^* < 1.$$

Then the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is  $F$ -convex. Indeed, for every  $x \neq 0$ , the function  $F = F(x^*, \xi^*; \cdot)$  defined by

$$x^* = \frac{\log(1 + \frac{\pi}{2})}{|x|}, \quad \xi^* = 1$$

supports  $f$  at  $x$ . Also every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  supports  $f$  at  $0$ .

We show now that an  $F$ -convex function inherits from  $\mathcal{F}$  its lower semi continuity.

## 2.7 Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of l.s.c. (= lower semi continuous) functions and let  $f$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -convex in  $\text{dom } f$ . Then  $f$  is l.s.c. in  $\text{dom } f$ .

Prcof.

Suppose  $f$  is not l.s.c.. Then there exists an  $x \in \text{dom } f$  such that

$$(2.13) \quad f(x) > \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y).$$

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  support  $f$  at  $x$ . Then

$$F(x) = f(x) > \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \geq \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} F(y),$$

by (2.4) and (2.13),

contradicting the lower semi continuity of  $F$ . □

## 2.8 Notes

For further generalizations of convexity see the surveys in ([2], Chapter 4), [4], and ([15], Chapter VIII).

For functions of several variables, the analogs of the sub  $F$ -functions are the subfunctions in particular the subharmonic functions; see [2] p. 146, [3] and [8], where applications to second order differential inequalities are surveyed.

### §3. REQUIREMENTS ON F

#### 3.1 General

With Examples 2.2, 2.3 and 2.5 as our motivation, we consider from now on only families  $F$  of functions  $F: R^n \rightarrow R$  depending continuously on  $n+1$  parameters

$$\{x^*, \xi^*\} \in X^* \times E^*$$

where the sets of parameters  $X^*$  and  $E^*$  are given subsets of  $R^n$  and  $R$  respectively. The general member of  $F$  is thus denoted by

$$(3.1) \quad F(.) = F(x^*, \xi^*; \cdot), \quad (x^* \in X^*, \xi^* \in E^*) ,$$

with function values

$$(3.2) \quad F(x) = F(x^*, \xi^*; x), \quad x \in X .$$

We assume that the mapping:  $\{x^*, \xi^*\} \rightarrow F(x^*, \xi^*; \cdot)$  is one to one on  $X^* \times E^*$ , i.e.,  $F(x^*, \xi^*; \cdot)$  is uniquely determined by  $\{x^*, \xi^*\}$ .

#### 3.2 The class A

Let  $D^k(X)$  denote the functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$  which are  $k$  times differentiable in  $X$ . If  $F \in D(X)$  we define the set

$$(3.3) \quad Z \triangleq \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F \\ F_x \end{bmatrix} : F \in F \right\} \subset R \times R^n$$

where  $F_x$  is the gradient of  $F$  with respect to  $x$ .

A family  $F$  of differentiable functions is said to be in class A, denoted by  $F \in \underline{A}$ , if for every  $x \in X$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \xi \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in Z$ , the system

$$(3.4) \quad \xi = F(x^*, \xi^*; x)$$

$$(3.5) \quad y = F_x(x^*, \xi^*; x)$$

has a unique solution  $\{x^*, \xi^*\} \in X^* \times \Xi^*$ .

If  $F \in D(X)$  and if  $f$  and  $S$  are a function:  $R^n \rightarrow R$  and an open subset of  $\text{dom } f$  respectively, we denote by

$$(3.6) \quad f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$$

the facts

$$(D1) \quad S \subset \text{dom } f \subset X$$

$$(D2) \quad f \in D(S)$$

$$(D3) \quad \text{range} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ f_x(x) \end{bmatrix} : x \in S \right\} \subset Z.$$

We abbreviate  $f \stackrel{\text{dom } f}{\approx} F$  by  $f \approx F$ .

If  $F \in \underline{A}$ ,  $f \approx F$  and  $x \in \text{dom } f$  we denote by

$$(3.7) \quad (x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x))$$

the unique solution of

$$(3.8) \quad f(x) = F(x^*, \xi^*; x)$$

$$(3.9) \quad f_x(x) = F_x(x^*, \xi^*; x).$$

A family  $F$  of differentiable functions is said to be in class A, denoted by  $F \in \underline{A}$ , if for every  $x \in X$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \xi \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in Z$ , the system

$$(3.4) \quad \xi = F(x^*, \xi^*; x)$$

$$(3.5) \quad y = F_x(x^*, \xi^*; x)$$

has a unique solution  $\{x^*, \xi^*\} \in X^* \times \Xi^*$ .

If  $F \in \underline{D}(X)$  and if  $f$  and  $S$  are a function.  $R^n \rightarrow R$  and an open subset of  $\text{dom } f$  respectively, we denote by

$$(3.6) \quad f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$$

the facts

$$(D1) \quad S \subset \text{dom } f \subset X$$

$$(D2) \quad f \in D(S)$$

$$(D3) \quad \text{range} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ f_x(x) \end{bmatrix} : x \in S \right\} \subset Z.$$

We abbreviate  $f \stackrel{\text{dom } f}{\approx} F$  by  $f \approx F$ .

If  $F \in \underline{A}$ ,  $f \approx F$  and  $x \in \text{dom } f$  we denote by

$$(3.7) \quad (x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x))$$

the unique solution of

$$(3.8) \quad f(x) = F(x^*, \xi^*; x)$$

$$(3.9) \quad f_x(x) = F_x(x^*, \xi^*; x).$$

### 3.3 The class $\underline{C}$

A family  $F$  is said to be in class  $\underline{C}$ , denoted by  $F \in \underline{C}$ , if for every  $\{x^*, x\} \in X^* \times X$  the function  $F(x^*, \cdot; x)$  is a strictly decreasing function of  $\xi^*, \xi^* \in \Xi^*$ . In this case, we denote by  $F^I(x, \cdot; x^*)$  the inverse function of  $F(x^*, \cdot; x)$ . It satisfies the identity

$$(3.10) \quad \xi = F(x^*, F^I(x, \xi; x^*); x), \quad \xi \in \Xi.$$

If  $F \in \underline{A} \cap \underline{C}$ ,  $f \approx F$  and  $x \in \text{dom } f$ , then (3.8) gives

$$(3.11) \quad \xi^* = F^I(x, f(x); x^*)$$

which, substituted in (3.9), gives

$$(3.12) \quad f_x(x) = F_x(x^*, F^I(x, f(x); x^*); x).$$

The unique solution of (3.12) is then called the  $F$ -gradient of  $f$  at  $x$ , and is denoted by  $x_f^*(x)$ .

### 3.4 Example

Let  $F$  be the family (2.5) of affine functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$ .

Then

- (a)  $F \subset D(R^n)$ ,  $F_x(x^*, \xi^*; x) = x^*$  for every  $F \in F$  and  $x \in R^n$ ,  
and (3.3) gives  $Z = R \times R^n$ .

(b)  $F \in \underline{A}$ . For every  $x \in R^n$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \xi \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in R \times R^n$ , the unique solution of (3.4)-(3.5) is

$$x^* = y, \quad \xi^* = \langle y, x \rangle - \xi.$$

(c)  $F \in \underline{C}$ .

(d)  $f \approx F$  means that  $f \in D(\text{dom } f)$ .

(e) If  $f \approx F$  then for every  $x \in \text{dom } f$

$$(3.13) \quad x_f^*(x) = f_x(x), \quad \xi_f^*(x) = \langle f_x(x), x \rangle - f(x).$$

Thus the  $F$ -gradient of  $f$ ,  $x_f^*$ , coincides here with its ordinary gradient  $f_x$ .

### 3.5 Example

Let  $\phi$  be a given function:  $X^* \times X \rightarrow R$  and let the family  $F$  consist of the functions  $F(x^*, \xi^*; \cdot)$ ,  $\{x^*, \xi^*\} \in X^* \times \Xi^*$ , with values

$$(3.14) \quad F(x^*, \xi^*; x) = \phi(x^*, x) - \xi^*, \quad x \in X.$$

Then:

(a)  $F \in \underline{A}$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(a1)  $\phi(x^*, \cdot) \in D(X)$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$ .

(a2) For every  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in \bigcup_{x^*} \text{range } \phi_{x^*}(x^*, \cdot)$ , the system

$$y = \phi_x(x^*, x)$$

has a unique solution  $x^*$ .

(b)  $F \in \underline{C}$ .

(c) Let  $F \in \underline{A}$ ,  $f \approx F$  and  $x \in \text{dom } f$ . Then the  $F$ -gradient of  $f$  at  $x$ ,  $x_f^*(x)$ , is the unique solution  $x^*$  of

$$(3.15) \quad f_x(x) = \phi_x(x^*, x).$$

Also

$$(3.16) \quad \xi_f^*(x) = \phi(x_f^*(x), x) - f(x).$$

A concrete example is the following family  $F$  defined by

$$F(x^*, \xi^*; x) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* \phi^i(x_i) + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* \phi^i(x_i)} - \xi^*$$

$$X^* = R_+^n, \quad \Xi^* = R, \quad X = \cap \text{dom } \phi^i$$

where for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\phi^i: R \rightarrow R_+$  is differentiable and  $\phi_{x_i}^i > 0$ . The condition  $f \approx F$  for this case is

$$f_{x_i} > 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

or

$$f_{x_i} < 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The  $F$ -gradient is

$$x_f^*(x) = \left( \frac{t^2(x)}{t^2(x) - 1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} f_{x_1}(x) / \phi_{x_1}^1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ f_{x_n}(x) / \phi_{x_n}^n(x_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$t(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_{x_i}}{\phi_{x_i}^i} \phi^i + \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_{x_i}}{\phi_{x_i}^i} \phi^i \right) + 4 \right]^{1/2} \right\}.$$

#### §4. FIRST ORDER CONDITIONS FOR F-CONVEXITY

In this section we give first order conditions (so-called because they involve only first derivatives and the "gradients"  $\{x_f^*, \xi_f^*\}$  of  $f$ , see (3.7)) for  $F$ -convexity, for families  $F$  in class  $A$ . These conditions use the extremal property of the supports implied by the inequality (2.4). First we require

##### 4.1 Lemma

Let  $F \in A$ ,  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ , and let  $f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$ . If  $f: S$  is supported (by some  $F \in F$ ) at a point  $x \in S$ , then

$$(4.1) \quad F(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); \cdot)$$

is the unique support of  $f$  at  $x$ .

##### Proof.

Let  $F(x_0^*, \xi_0^*; \cdot) \in F$  support  $f: S$  at  $x$ , i.e.,

$$(4.2) \quad h(z) \triangleq f(z) - F(x_0^*, \xi_0^*; z) \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in S,$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad h(x) = f(x) - F(x_0^*, \xi_0^*; x) = 0.$$

Therefore  $h(z)$  is minimized, in  $S$ , by  $z = x$ . Since  $S$  is open, this implies that  $x$  is a critical point of  $h$ , i.e.,

$$(4.4) \quad h_z(x) = f_x(x) - F_x(x_0^*, \xi_0^*; x) = 0.$$

Since  $F \in \underline{A}$ , a comparison of (4.3)-(4.4) and (3.8)-(3.9) shows that

$$\{x_0^*, \xi_0^*\} = \{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x)\}$$

proving that (4.1) is the unique support at  $x$ . □

#### 4.2 Theorem

Let  $F \in \underline{A}$ ,  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ , and  $f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$ . Then  $f$  is  $F$ -convex in  $S$  if and only if for every  $x \in S$

$$(4.5) \quad f(z) \geq F(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); z), \quad \forall x \neq z \in S.$$

Furthermore,  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $S$  if and only if for every  $x \in S$

$$(4.6) \quad f(z) > F(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); z), \quad \forall x \neq z \in S.$$

#### Proof.

If. From (4.5) and (3.8) it follows, for any  $x \in S$ , that the function (4.1) supports  $f: S$  at  $x$ . It is the unique support if (4.6) holds.

Only if. Let  $f$  be  $F$ -convex in  $S$ . Then, by Lemma 4.1, for any  $x \in S$ , the function (4.1) is the unique support of  $f: S$  at  $x$ . The inequality (4.5) then follows from (2.4). Similarly (4.6) follows from the strict  $F$ -convexity of  $f$ . □

### 4.3 Example

Let  $F$  be the family (2.5) of affine functions:  $R^n \rightarrow R$ ,

$$F = \{F(x^*, \xi^*; \cdot) = \langle x^*, \cdot \rangle - \xi^* : x^* \in R^n, \xi^* \in R\}.$$

Then, using (3.13), the inequality (4.5) reduces to

$$f(z) \geq \langle f_x(x), z - x \rangle + f(x), \quad \forall x \neq z \in S,$$

the classical gradient inequality.

### 4.4 Corollary

(a) Let  $F \in \underline{A}$ , and let  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ ,  $f \in \underline{S} F$ , be  $F$ -convex in  $S$ . Then  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $S$  if and only if the mapping

$$(4.7) \quad x \mapsto \{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x)\}$$

is one to one on  $S$ .

(b) Let, in addition,  $F \in \underline{C}$ . Then  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $S$  if and only if the mapping

$$(4.8) \quad x_f^*: x \mapsto x_f^*(x)$$

is one to one on  $S$ .

#### Proof.

From Lemma 4.1 it follows, for every  $x \in S$ , that the function (4.1) is the unique support of  $f: S$  at  $x$ . By definition,  $f$  is

strictly  $F$ -convex in  $S$  if, and only if, every support of  $f: S$  supports  $f$  at exactly one point of  $S$ . This is equivalent to the mapping (4.7) being one to one on  $S$ .

To prove the last part, note that the additional hypothesis  $F \in \underline{C}$  implies

$$(4.9) \quad [x \xrightarrow{1:1} x_f^*(x) \text{ on } S] \longleftrightarrow [x \xrightarrow{1:1} \{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x)\} \text{ on } S].$$

Indeed, the implication  $\longrightarrow$  is always true. Conversely, suppose that  $x_f^*$  is not one to one on  $S$ , i.e., there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in S$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , such that

$$(4.10) \quad x_f^*(x_1) = x_f^*(x_2) \triangleq x_0^*.$$

Let  $\xi_i^* \triangleq \xi_f^*(x_i) = F^i(x_i, f(x_i); x_0^*)$  and let

$$F^i(\cdot) \triangleq F(x_0^*, \xi_i^*; \cdot), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then

$$F^i(x_i) = f(x_i), \quad F_{x_i}^i(x_i) = f_{x_i}(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Hence by Theorem 4.2,  $F^i$  supports  $f$  at  $x_i$ . If  $\xi_1^* = \xi_2^*$ , then this and (4.10) contradicts the fact that  $(x_f^*, \xi_f^*)$  is 1:1, established earlier. Thus suppose that  $\xi_1^* > \xi_2^*$ . This implies, since  $F \in \underline{C}$ , that  $F^1(z) < F^2(z) \quad \forall z \in S$ . In particular

$$F^2(x_1) > F^1(x_1) = f(x_1)$$

contradicting the fact that  $F^2$  is a support. □

#### 4.5 Theorem

Let  $F \in \underline{A} \cap \underline{C}$ ,  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ , and  $f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$ . Then  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $S$  if the following two conditions hold.

- (a) The mapping  $x_f^*$  is one to one on  $S$ .
- (b) For every  $x \in S$  and for every sequence  $\{z_k\} \subset S$  which either converges to a point  $y \in \text{bdry } S$  or  $|z_k| \rightarrow \infty$  there exists an  $\hat{x} \in S$  such that

$$(4.11) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{F^I(z_k, f(z_k), x_f^*(x)) - F^I(\hat{x}, f(\hat{x}), x_f^*(x))\} \leq 0$$

where  $F^I$  is defined in §3.3.

#### Proof.

For any  $x \in S$  consider the function

$$(4.12) \quad T(z) \triangleq F^I(z, f(z); x_f^*(x)).$$

We show first that  $z = x$  is a critical point of  $T$ .

Differentiating the identity

$$(4.13) \quad F(x^*, F^I(y, f(y); x^*); y) - f(y) = 0$$

with respect to  $y$  we get

$$(4.14) \quad F_x(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot) + F_{\xi^*}(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot) [F_x^I(y, f(y); x^*) + F_{\xi}^I(y, f(y); x^*) f_x(y)] - f_x(y) = 0$$

where

$$(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot) = (x^*, F^I(y, f(y); x^*); y).$$

Now  $F_{\xi^*} \neq 0$ , since  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, for  $y = x$  and  $x^* = x_f^*(x)$ , it follows from (4.14) and (3.12) that

$$(4.15) \quad F_x^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)) + F_{\xi}^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)) f_x(x) = 0$$

which, by (4.12), is the same as  $T_z(x) = 0$ , proving that  $z = x$  is critical.

Moreover,  $z = x$  is the unique critical point of  $T$  in  $S$ . For suppose that  $x \neq x' \in S$  is another critical point of  $T$ , i.e.

$$T_z(x') = F_x^I(x', f(x'); x_f^*(x)) + F_{\xi}^I(x', f(x'); x_f^*(x)) f_x(x') = 0$$

implying that for  $y = x'$  and  $x^* = x_f^*(x)$ , (4.14) reduces to

$$F_x(x_f^*(x), F^I(x', f(x'); x_f^*(x)); x') - f_x(x') = 0$$

which, together with (3.12), implies that

$$x_f^*(x') = x_f^*(x),$$

contradicting (a).

We show next that

$$(4.16) \quad \sup\{T(z) : z \in S\} = T(x).$$

Indeed if this supremum occurs at some  $z = y \in \text{bdry } S$  or if a supremizing sequence  $\{z_k\}$  is such that  $|z_k| \rightarrow \infty$  then the supremum is also attained at  $\hat{x} \in S$ , by (4.11). Therefore  $z = \hat{x}$  is a critical point of  $T$ , proving that  $\hat{x} = x$ , since the latter

is the unique critical point in  $S$ , and therefore (4.16) becomes

$$F^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)) > F^I(z, f(z); x_f^*(x)), \quad \forall x \neq z \in S,$$

which is the same as

$$f(z) > F(x_f^*(x), F^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)); z), \quad \forall x \neq z \in S,$$

proving that  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $S$ , by theorem 4.2.  $\square$

#### 4.6 Example

Consider the family

$$F = \{\phi(x^*, \cdot) - \xi^*: x^* \in X^*, \xi^* \in \Xi^*\},$$

of Example 3.5 and let  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$ . Then condition (b) of Theorem 4.5 follows from

(b1) For every  $x^* \in \text{range}\{x_f^*(x): x \in S\}$  and every sequence  $\{z_k\}$  as in Theorem 4.5(b),

$$(4.17) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{f(z_k) - \phi(x^*, z_k)\} = +\infty. \quad \square$$

In particular, if

$$S = \text{dom } f = X = \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad \limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(x^*, x)}{\|x\|} < \infty, \quad \forall x^* \in \text{range } x_f^*.$$

then condition (b) of Theorem 4.5 is satisfied if

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{|x|} = \infty.$$

Note that (4.18) is trivially satisfied by the family  $F$  of affine functions. Hence, a differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strictly convex if the following two conditions hold.

(a) The mapping

$$x \rightarrow f_x(x)$$

is one to one on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(b) 
$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{|x|} = \infty.$$

□

As a concrete example of condition (b1) let  $F$  be the family of functions:  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$(4.19) \quad F(x^*, \xi^*; x) = x_1^* e^{-x_1} + x_2^* x_2 e^{-x_1} - \xi^*$$

with  $X = X^* = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\Xi^* = \mathbb{R}$ .

Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(4.20) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{2x_1} + \frac{1}{2} x_2^2 e^{-x_1}$$

with  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $f$  is  $F$ -convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since:

(a) The  $F$ -gradient

$$x_f^*(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1 \\ -e^{2x_1} - \frac{1}{2} x_2^2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is one-to-one, and

$$(4.21) \quad \text{range } x_f^* = \{(x_1^*, x_2^*) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x_1^* + \frac{1}{2} x_2^{*2} < 0\}.$$

$$(b) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) - \phi(x^*, z) &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{2z_1} + \frac{1}{2} z_2^2 e^{-z_1} \right) - (x_1^* e^{-z_1} + x_2^* z_2 e^{-z_1}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2z_1} - (x_1^* + \frac{1}{2} x_2^{*2}) e^{-z_1} + \frac{1}{2} (z_2 - x_2^*)^2 e^{-z_1} \end{aligned}$$

by (4.21) the coefficients of all exponents are positive and hence

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} [f(z) - \phi(x^*, z)] = \infty \quad \forall x^* \in \text{range } x_f^*.$$

## §5. SECOND ORDER CONDITIONS FOR F-CONVEXITY

In this section we collect second order conditions (involving second derivatives) for F-convexity.

### 5.1 Theorem

Let  $F \in \mathcal{A} \cap D^2(X)$ ,  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ ,  $f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$  and  $f \in D^2(S)$ . Then:

(a)  $f$  is F-convex in  $S$  only if, for every  $x \in S$ , the matrix

$$(5.1) \quad H(x) \triangleq f_{xx}(x) - F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x)$$

is positive semi definite.<sup>1</sup>

(b) Let  $S$  be convex and let  $f$  and each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  be twice continuously differentiable in  $S$ . Then  $f$  is F-convex in  $S$  if

$$(5.2) \quad \langle y, \int_0^1 (f_{xx}(x+sy) - F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x+sy)) y ds \rangle \geq 0,$$

for every  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S - x$ .

If strict inequality holds in (5.2),  $F$  is strictly F-convex in  $S$ .

### Proof.

(a) Let  $f$  be F-convex in  $S$ . Then, for any  $x \in S$ , the function

$$(5.3) \quad h(z) \triangleq f(z) - F(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); z)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>A matrix  $H \in R^{n \times n}$  is called here positive semi definite if

$$\langle Hz, z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in R^n.$$

We do not mean by this that  $H$  is symmetric.

satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad h(x) = 0, \quad h_z(x) = 0, \quad \text{by (3.8)-(3.9),}$$

and

$$h(z) \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in S, \quad \text{by Theorem 4.2.}$$

Therefore  $z = x$  minimizes  $h$  in  $S$ . Since  $S$  is an open set, it follows that

$$h_{zz}(x) = H(x)$$

is positive semi-definite.

(b) The function  $h$  of (5.3) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= h(z) - h(x) - \langle h_z(x), z - x \rangle, \quad \text{by (5.4),} \\ &= \langle (h_z(x + t(z-x)) - h_z(x)), z - x \rangle, \quad \text{for some } 0 < t < 1, \\ &\quad \text{by a mean value theorem ([12], Theorem 3.2.2),} \\ &= \langle z - x, \left( \int_0^1 h_{zz}(x + st(z-x)) ds \right) t(z-x) \rangle, \\ &\quad \text{by a mean value theorem ([12], Theorem 3.2.7),} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \langle y, \int_0^1 (f_{xx}(x+sy) - F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x+sy)) y ds \rangle, \\ &\quad \text{where } y = t(z-x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (5.2) implies that

$$(5.5) \quad h(z) \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in S,$$

proving that  $f$  is  $F$ -convex in  $S$ , by Theorem 4.2.

Similarly, strict inequality in (5.2) implies strict inequality in (5.5), hence strict  $F$ -convexity.  $\square$

### 5.2 Example

Let  $F$  be the family (2.5) of affine functions:  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the matrix  $H(x)$  of (5.1) reduces to the Hessian of  $f$

$$H(x) = f_{xx}(x)$$

and Theorem 5.1 gives the classical conditions for convexity in terms of the Hessian.

### 5.3 Example

Let  $F$  be the Beckenbach family of solutions of the second order differential equation

$$(2.9) \quad y'' = G(x, y, y'), \quad (a < x < b),$$

discussed in Example 2.5. Then (5.1) becomes

$$H = f'' - G(x, f, f').$$

Now, suppose that  $F \subset C^2(X)$ ,  $f \in C^2(S)$ , then  $H(x) > 0$  implies  $H(x+sy) > 0$  for  $0 < s < 1$  and  $y$  sufficiently close to  $x$ . Thus (5.2) is a strict inequality in some neighborhood of  $x$ , and we conclude that  $f$  is, locally, strictly  $F$ -convex. By proposition 2.4 this implies that  $f$  is locally strictly sub- $F$ , which by ([1]

Theorem 7) implies that  $f$  is sub- $F$  globally in  $(a,b)$ . This result is the analog of [14], Theorem 3. To get the analogous result of ([14] Theorem 1), we need the implication  $H(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow H(x+sy) \geq 0$ , for  $0 < s < 1$  and  $y$  sufficiently close to  $x$ , for which Peixoto's additional requirement, (P2) of Example 2.5, is needed (see Peixoto's proof of [14] Lemma 1).

#### 5.4 Definition

A mapping  $T: R^n \rightarrow R^n$  is called one to one on  $R^n$  if

- (a)  $x, y \in R^n$ ,  $x \neq y \Rightarrow T(x) \neq T(y)$ .
- (b) The inverse images  $T^{-1}(B)$  of bounded sets  $B \subset R^n$  are bounded.

#### 5.5 Theorem

Let  $F \in \underline{A} \cap \underline{C} \cap C^2(R^n)$ ,  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ ,  $f \in C^2(R^n)$  and  $f \approx F$ .

Then  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $R^n$  if the following two conditions hold

- (a) The mapping  $x_f^*$  is one to one on  $R^n$ .
- (b) For every  $x \in R^n$ , the matrix

$$(5.6) \quad H(x) = f_{xx}(x) - F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), F^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)); x)$$

is positive definite. Conversely, if  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $R^n$  then (a) holds and the matrix  $H(x)$  is positive semi-definite for every  $x \in R^n$ .

Proof.

First we note, by (3.11), that (5.6) and (5.1) are the same.

For any  $x \in R^n$  consider now the function

$$(4.12) \quad T(z) = F^I(z, f(z); x_f^*(x)) .$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.5 it follows from (a) that  $z = x$  is the unique critical point of  $T$  in  $R^n$ .

Differentiating the identity (4.13) twice with respect to  $y$  we get, by using (4.15) and (3.12),

$$(5.7) \quad T_{zz}(x) = \frac{1}{F_{\xi^*}} H(x)$$

(where  $F_{\xi^*}$  is evaluated at  $\{x_f^*(x), F^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)); x\}$ ). From (5.7), (b) and  $F \in \underline{C}$  it follows that  $T_{zz}(x)$  is negative definite. Therefore  $z = x$  is an isolated local maximizer of  $T$ , and its unique critical point in  $R^n$ .

Thus, by Leighton's Theorem [9], see also [17],  $z = x$  is the global maximizer of  $T$ , i.e.,

$$F^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)) > F^I(z, f(z); x_f^*(x)), \quad \forall x \neq z \in R^n,$$

which is the same as

$$f(z) > F(x_f^*(x), F^I(x, f(x); x_f^*(x)); z), \quad \forall x \neq z \in R^n,$$

proving that  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $R^n$  by Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

If  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $R^n$  then (a) and (b) follow from Corollary 4.4 and Theorem 5.1(a) respectively.

### 5.6 Example

Let  $F$  and  $f$  be given by (4.19) and (4.20) respectively. Then the matrix (5.1) is positive definite

$$H(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 3e^{2x_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-x_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex in  $R^2$ , by Theorem 5.5.

## §6. MONOTONICITY OF F-GRADIENTS

In this section we prove monotonicity results for the  $F$ -gradient  $x_f^*$  of an  $F$ -convex function. We recall that a mapping  $g: R^n \rightarrow R^n$  is a P-function [ $P_0$ -function] if for every  $x, y \in \text{dom } g$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there is an index  $k = k(x, y) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$(x_k - y_k)(g_k(x) - g_k(y)) > 0$  [ $(x_k - y_k)(g_k(x) - g_k(y)) \geq 0$  and  $x_k \neq y_k$ ], see [10]. In particular, a mapping  $g: R^n \rightarrow R^n$  is monoton [strictly monotone] if for every  $x, y \in \text{dom } g$ ,  $x \neq y$ , we have  $\langle x - y, g(x) - g(y) \rangle \geq 0$  [ $\langle x - y, g(x) - g(y) \rangle > 0$ ]. We also require the following

### 6.1 Definitions

A family  $F$  is said to be in class  $A_1$ , denoted by  $F \in A_1$ , if  $F \in A$  and for every  $\{x^*, \xi^*; x\} \in X^* \times E^* \times X$  the derivatives in (6.1) are continuous and the matrix

$$(6.1) \quad J(x^*, \xi^*; x) = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\xi^*}(x^*, \xi^*; x) & F_{x^*}^T(x^*, \xi^*; x) \\ F_{\xi^*x}(x^*, \xi^*; x) & F_{x^*x}(x^*, \xi^*; x) \end{bmatrix} \quad 1)$$

is nonsingular, say

---

1) This matrix is the Jacobian matrix of the function

$$\begin{bmatrix} F(\cdot, \cdot; x) \\ F_x(\cdot, \cdot; x) \end{bmatrix},$$

see (3.4)-(3.5).

$$(6.2) \quad \det J(x^*, \xi^*; x) < 0 .$$

A family  $F$  is said to be in class  $A_2$ , denoted by  $F \in A_2$ , if  $F \in A_1$  and for every  $x \in X$  the matrix

$$(6.3) \quad J_0(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{F_{\xi^*}} [F_{\xi^*} F_{x^*x} - F_{\xi^*x} F_{x^*}^T] ,$$

where all derivatives are evaluated at  $\{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x\}$ , is positive definite.

## 6.2 Lemma

Let  $F \in A_1 \cap C$ ,  $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ ,  $f \stackrel{S}{\approx} F$  and let  $f$  and each  $F \in F$  be twice continuously differentiable in  $S$ . Then, for every  $x \in S$ ,

$$(6.4) \quad D_x x_f^*(x) = J_0(x)^{-1} H(x)$$

where  $D_x x_f^*(x)$  denotes the derivative of  $x_f^*$  at  $x$  and  $J_0$  and  $H$  are given by (6.3) and (5.1) respectively.

### Proof.

For any  $x \in S$  consider the system

$$(3.8) \quad F(x^*, \xi^*; x) - f(x) = 0$$

$$(3.9) \quad F_x(x^*, \xi^*; x) - f_x(x) = 0$$

which, since  $F \in A_1$ , has a unique solution  $\{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x)\}$ . The implicit function theorem, applicable since  $F \in A_1$ , then gives

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} D_x \xi_f^*(x) \\ D_x x_f^*(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\xi^*} & F_{x^*}^T \\ F_{\xi^*x} & F_{x^*x} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} f_x(x) - F_x(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x) \\ f_{xx}(x) - F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x) \end{bmatrix}$$

where the derivatives

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{\xi^*} & F_{x^*}^T \\ F_{\xi^*x} & F_{x^*x} \end{bmatrix}$$

are evaluated at  $\{x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x\}$ .

Using (3.9) and (5.1), we rewrite (6.5) as

$$(6.6) \quad F_{\xi^*} D_x \xi_f^*(x) + F_{x^*}^T D_x x_f^*(x) = 0$$

$$(6.7) \quad F_{\xi^*x} D_x \xi_f^*(x) + F_{x^*x} D_x x_f^*(x) = H(x).$$

Now  $F_{\xi^*} \neq 0$  since  $F \in \underline{C}$ . Eliminating  $D_x \xi_f^*(x)$  from (6.6) and substituting in (6.7) gives

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{F_{\xi^*}} [F_{\xi^*} F_{x^*x} - F_{\xi^*x} F_{x^*}^T] D_x x_f^*(x).$$

The proof is completed by showing that the matrix

$$[F_{\xi^*} F_{x^*x} - F_{\xi^*x} F_{x^*}^T]$$

is nonsingular, which follows since

$$(6.8) \quad \det[F_{\xi^*} F_{x^*x} - F_{\xi^*x} F_{x^*}^T] = F_{\xi^*}^{n-1} \det \begin{bmatrix} F_{\xi^*} & F_{x^*}^T \\ F_{\xi^*x} & F_{x^*x} \end{bmatrix},$$

by Sylvester's identity ([7], Section II.3),

$\neq 0$ , since  $F \in \underline{C} \cap \underline{A}_1$ .

□

### 6.3 Example

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family (2.5) of affine functions:  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then

$$x_f^*(x) = f_x(x), \quad \text{by Example 3.4,}$$

$$J_0(x) = I \quad \text{by (6.3) since } F_{x^*x} = I, \quad F_{\xi^*x} = 0$$

and (6.4) reduces to the obvious

$$(6.9) \quad D_x f_x(x) = f_{xx}(x) .$$

If  $f$  is a convex [strictly convex] differentiable function, then its gradient  $f_x$  is monotone [strictly monotone] in  $\text{dom } f$ . This is an immediate consequence of the gradient inequality (Example 4.3), and Theorem 4.2. Alternatively and less directly, the monotonicity of  $f_x$  can be shown to follow from (6.9) and the fact that  $f_{xx}$  is positive semi definite, see, e.g. [12], Theorem 5.4.3. Two other cases in which the factorization (6.4) is used to establish a monotonicity property of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -gradient  $x_f^*$ , will now be given.

### 6.4 Theorem

Let  $F \in \mathcal{A}_2 \cap C^2(X)$  where  $X = I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$  is the product of open intervals  $I_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ , ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). Let each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  be of the form

$$(6.10) \quad F(x^*, \xi^*; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n F^i(x_i^*, x_i) - \xi^*$$

where  $F^1(x_i^*, \cdot): I_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,n$ ). Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $F$ -convex [strictly  $F$ -convex] with  $\text{dom } f \supset X$  and  $f \in C^2(X)$ . Then  $x_f^*$  is a  $P_0$ -function [P-function] in  $X$ .

Proof.

From (6.10), (6.3) and  $F \in A_2$  it follows that

$$J_0(x) = F_{x^*x}$$

a diagonal, positive definite matrix. From (6.4) and Theorem 5.1(a) it therefore follows, for an  $F$ -convex function  $f$ , that  $D_x x_f^*(x)$  is a  $P_0$ -matrix, (see [5],[6]), proving that  $x_f^*$  is a  $P_0$ -function, by [10], Corollary 5.3.

If  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex, then, by Corollary 4.4(b) (applicable since  $F \in \underline{C}$ ), it follows for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , that there is a  $k = k(x, y) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$x_k \neq y_k \quad \text{and} \quad x_f^*(x)_k \neq x_f^*(y)_k,$$

proving that  $x_f^*$  is a P-function. □

A special case of Theorem 6.4 is the following, one dimensional result:

### 6.5 Corollary

Let  $F \in A_1 \cap \underline{C}$  be a family of functions:  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $S$  an open subset of  $\text{dom } f$ , and let  $f$  and each  $F \in F$  be twice continuously differentiable in  $S$ . If  $f$  is  $F$ -convex in  $S$  then  $x_f^*$  is a nondecreasing function in  $S$ .

Proof.

Using (6.3), (6.8) and (6.1) we write

$$(6.11) \quad J_0(x) = \frac{1}{F_{\xi^*}} \det J(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); x)$$

$> 0$ , by (6.2) and  $F \in \underline{C}$ .

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dx} x_f^*(x) \geq 0, \text{ by (6.4) and Theorem 5.1(a).} \quad \square$$

#### 6.6 Corollary

Let  $F$ ,  $f$  and  $S$  be as in Corollary 6.5, where  $S$  is an interval  $(a,b)$ . If

$$f''(x) > F_{xx}(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x), x), \quad x \in S,$$

then  $f$  is strictly  $F$ -convex.

Proof.

From (6.4) and (6.11) we infer that  $x_f^*$  is 1:1 on  $(a,b)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5.6 this implies that  $z=x$  is a local minimizer of  $h(z) \triangleq f(z) - F(x_f^*(x), \xi_f^*(x); z)$  and that no other critical point exists in  $(a,b)$ . Hence  $z=x$  is the unique global minimizer of  $h(z)$ , which was previously shown to be equivalent to the strict  $F$ -convexity of  $f$ .  $\square$

### 6.7 Corollary

Let  $F$  be as in Theorem 6.4, with  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f \approx F$  is strictly  $F$ -convex, if the matrix  $H(x)$  is positive definite.

#### Proof.

Follows from (6.4) and Theorem 5.5. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Beckenbach, E.F., "Generalized Convex Functions," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1937), 363-371.
- [2] Beckenbach, E.F. and Bellman, R. Inequalities (2nd revised printing), Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1965, xi + 198 pp.
- [3] Beckenbach, E.F. and Jackson, L.K., "Subfunctions of Several Variables," Pacific J. Math., 3 (1953), 291-310.
- [4] Danzer, L., Grünbaum, B. and Klee, V.L., "Helly's Theorem and Its Relatives," in Convexity, V.L. Klee (ed.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. VII, American Mathematical Society, 1963, 101-180.
- [5] Fiedler, M. and Pták, V., "On Matrices with Non-positive Off-Diagonal Elements and Positive Principal Minors," Czech. Math. J., 12 (1962), 382-400.
- [6] Fiedler, M. and Pták, V., "Some Generalizations of Positive Definiteness and Monotonicity," Numer. Math., 9 (1966), 163-172.
- [7] Gantmacher, F.R., The Theory of Matrices, Vol. I, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1959.
- [8] Jackson, L.K., "Subfunctions and Second-Order Ordinary Differential Inequalities," Advances in Mathematics, 2 (1968), 307-363.

- [9] Leighton, W., "On Liapunov Functions with a Single Critical Point," Pacific J. Math., 19 (1966), 467-472.
- [10] Moré, J. and Rheinboldt, W., "On P- and S-Functions and Related Classes of n-dimensional Nonlinear Mappings," Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 6 (1973), 45-68.
- [11] Motzkin, T.S., "Approximation by Curves of a Unisolvent Family," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 789-793.
- [12] Ortega, J.M. and Rheinboldt, W.C., Iterative Solution of Non-linear Equations in Several Variables, Academic Press, New York, 1970, xx + 572 pp.
- [13] Peixoto, M.M., "On the Existence of a Derivative of Generalized Convex Functions," Summa Brasiliensis Math., 2 (1948), No. 3.
- [14] Peixoto, M.M., "Generalized Convex Functions and Second Order Differential Inequalities," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 563-572.
- [15] Roberts, A.W. and Varberg, D.E., Convex Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1973, xx + 300 pp.
- [16] Rockafellar, R.T., Convex Analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970, xviii + 411 pp.
- [17] Szegő, G.P., "A Theorem of Rolle's Type in  $E^n$  for Functions of the Class  $C^1$ ," Pacific J. Math., 27 (1968), 193-195.